


**keywords:** Terence Joseph Mellifont on trial for perjury to Fitzgerald Inquiry [FI]; on 6 June 1988, Mellifont called to give evidence to the FI; re the "Henderson" account; alleged in connect with unlawful bookmaking activity; Morley DCJ was wrongly about to direct jury to bring in not guilty; crown entered *nolle prosequi* to withdraw charges; so no acquittal; Full Court of Supreme Court Queensland [SCQ] asked for opinion of Morley's decision; against Morley; Special Prosecutor advised Mellifont that a new indictment would be presented against him in event of "successful" reference to the Full Court; reference successful; Mellifont appealed to High court; lost appeal; BUT NO 2ND INDICTMENT; Goss [Rudd] Labor Government then in "power"; Mellifont's wife appointed to Judge of District Court;



*Jeffrey Ernest John Spender still remains as a Federal Court Judge. Spender has been an ALP co-conspirator with the lowlife criminal-struck-off perjuring ex-solicitor Terence Joseph Mellifont [Terry Mellifont of TJ Mellifont & Co infamy] in some of his criminal pursuits. It is CORRUPTION in the Queensland Labor Government a la RUDD, that has kept Mellifont from jail. HAIGPHOTO*

# RUDD & Qld ELECTORAL FRAUD

by *Alex Gordon LLB*

The relevant details which we summarize in this edition, are on the public record in the decision of the High Court of Australia, [Mellifont v Attorney-General \(Qld\) \[1991\] HCA 53; \(1991\) 173 CLR 289 \(12 December 1991\)](#) which is archived on AustLii at <http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/HCA/1991/53.html?query=^Mellifont/>.

In 1974, Terence Joseph Mellifont [Terry Mellifont of TJ Mellifont & Co infamy] conspired

with Jeffrey Ernest John Spender, and others, to defraud our photographer Haig [ref: *HAIGPHOTO*]. Mellifont was then a solicitor in practice who cheated his disabled client for political reasons, only because he could put it over a disabled person so easily. Spender was then a barrister but was appointed as a judge to the Federal Court in May, 1984, by the Hawke Labor government. In 1980, Mellifont was permanently struck off the role of solicitors for dishonesty, including perjuring himself to the Statutory Committee of the Law Society of Queensland Inc. In that court appearance, Mellifont was represented by Spender. The Crown in Queensland believed it had evidence that Mellifont had been acting for some time as an unlawful SP bookmaker. He was subpoenaed to give evidence to the Fitzgerald Inquiry [FI] on 6 June 1988. He was charged with perjury for statements he made to the FI. The Judge in the District Court in his trial one Morley DCJ was about to instruct the jury to bring in a not guilty verdict, due to Morley's mis-understanding of a point of law. Before he could do that, the crown entered *nolle prosequi* to withdraw charges. Accordingly, Mellifont was discharged, but NOT acquitted.

## **All your printing needs in one spot.**

If you find Australian printing too expensive and poor quality, contact us on our PHP email at <http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html> /. We arrange to provide to you, printing services by a variety of printers in South East Asia. Those printers will be provided with print/photo ready copy. We will arrange businesses and individual Graphic Designers in Australia , New Zealand or a country where the language of the Print job is the first language of that country, so they can produce that copy to your satisfaction prior to its going to the Asian printer. **Contact us on our PHP email at <http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html>**

The Attorney General, as a formality for the Special Prosecutor, referred the point of law to the Full Court [FC] of the Supreme Court of Queensland [SCQ]. Within days of that referral, the Special Prosecutor advised Mellifont that if the “reference” was “successful”, Mellifont would again be charged with Perjury to the FI. The Reference was successful, so, to delay a second indictment and possibly avoid it, Mellifont appealed the FC decision to the High Court of Australia. Mellifont lost the appeal. At that time, in Queensland, the Goss Labor Government was in Power. Would anyone be surprised to find that the Labor crook Mellifont, who had done criminally fraudulent acts in consort with another then Labor Lawyer Jeffrey Ernest John Spender, was not then charged? Mellifont was not then charged. That was the doing of the Queensland labor government.

Mellifont is a crook, criminal and a perjurer. The FC of SCQ has found that Mellifont perjured himself to the Statutory Committee of the Queensland Law Society Inc [QLS].

He is publicly a strong supporter of the ALP. Of course, when it comes to his supporting the ALP, he becomes honest and honourable???. With Mellifont's record of PROVEN fraud, perjury and corruption, we doubt if Mellifont would know how to be honest. The Queensland Labor government, during this time was closely associated with Rudd who now want to be prime minister of our country. Rudd would be expecting loyal support and assistance from Mellifont. It is public knowledge that MELLIFONT is CORRUPT. RUDD

## KNOWS MELLIFONT IS CORRUPT. In Mellifont's helping Rudd, Mellifont's corruption has to rub off on Rudd.

What else has the Queensland Labour Government, when closely associated with Rudd, done for Mellifont??? Let us see!! Has it done more for Mellifont than ensure Mellifont is not again charged with Perjury to the FI? Mellifont was tried in the District Court for perjury. With Mellifont's being struck off the roll of solicitors for being deceitful, dishonest and dishonourable, he could hardly be appointed to be judge of the District Court. Had he been charged with perjury as he was told he would be by the special prosecutor, [and there is a *prima facie* case that he is guilty of perjury to the FI – and CAN STILL BE CHARGED], he would be tried in the District Court. It must appeal to Rudd's and his Qld Labor mates' sense of humour and irony that the Queensland [Rudd associated] labor government appointed Mellifont's "WIFE", Julie Maree Dick/Mellifont [which is it – or is it both, on the Electoral roll see <http://AustLawPublish.com/20070716AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200707.judge.julie.dick.pdf> ], to be a judge of the District Court.

Mellifont's "WIFE", DISTRICT COURT JUDGE Julie Maree Dick/Mellifont is MARRIED to CORRUPTION. We think this is not widely known. If this is known to a person, surely it would have to affect that person's perception of her values, how she may think and ultimately decide a case. Would only the innocent who have been wrongly charged wish to appeal against her deciding their case? Many people may think she has a favourable view of criminals. She is/was "married" to one. As of February, 2006, she was socializing with Terry Mellifont with the ALSO LABOR APPOINTED governor of Queensland, Quentin Alice Louise Bryce, at Government House no less, AND MOST PROBABLY AT OUR EXPENSE, as Queenslanders.

### **WANTED: Contract PRINTERS in Asia.**

Print/copy shop owners or operators anywhere in South East Asia including China, Singapore, India, Malaysia, Vietnam, Thailand, Cambodia, Hong Kong, Macau, South Korea, Philippines, Indonesia, Japan, Taiwan, New Zealand, and Sri Lanka. [Google loves a list], we invite your expression of interest in offering your printing services.

Please read the Allstralian Business Journal article at <http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf> /. Our initial small print run is black monochrome A4. We expect to direct much more print business to Asian firms as the Australian Print/copy businesses are overcharging and giving poor service. See our accompanying advertisements for people wanting printing done and for the Graphic Designers of print copy to produce print ready copy in .pdf format for those customers.

We are happy to pay in your currency or any currency you choose. The payment would be electronically, immediately the work is done and posted. **Contact us on our PHP email at <http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html>**

THIS ASSOCIATION WOULD HAVE TO BE WELL KNOWN TO ALL

LAWYERS IN BRISBANE. We wonder how many tell their clients who are appearing before [Dick/Mellifont](#), that the judge who will decide their case is/was married to a crook. The client may think that that situation may have caused her to decide their case differently to the way she should have, if she was not associated with a crook. A logical person may reason that if she is married to a crook, it is too bad if you are innocent. THERE COULD BE MANY PEOPLE IN QUEENSLAND WHO ARE ANGRY TO DISCOVER THAT AN INNOCENT PERSON HAS BEEN CONVICTED IN A COURT CONTROLLED [BY DICK/MELLIFONT](#).

All POLICE in Queensland would also know this fact; that the Queensland Labor Government [associated with RUDD], has appointed a crook's wife to the District Court. We think that would greatly influence the way people thought of the police:- "If the government appoints a crook's wife to be a judge of the District Court, why should we, as police, be honest and virtuous." We say, it certainly will increase the police's respect for the law???

**Labor has had spectacular success in Queensland state politics. [Is electoral fraud involved?](#) Has [Mellifont been involved in Electoral Fraud?](#)**

*We concurrently continue the consideration of the favourable treatment of Mellifont in our [October, 2007 edition of Australian Crime Prosecution Law Journal \[ACPLJ\]](#) archived online at*

*<http://AustLawPublish.com/20071008AustralianCrimeProsecutionLawJournalissue200701.leeanne.clare.pdf/>.*

## **Graphic Designers: Business opportunity**

**We invite expressions of interest from Australian and New Zealand Graphic Designers who wish to provide a service to the Australian or New Zealand Community, as indicated by the above two advertisements, and the [Allstralian Business Journal](#) article at <http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf/>.**

**We believe that by arranging better value and quality printing, we will increase the market for printed material and hence increase work for Graphic Designers being produced by TAFE around Australia.**

**Contact us on our PHP email at**

**<http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html>**

**This Journal is part of the Mellifont/Spender/Heffernan/Reiman/Queensland Rail fraud topic. On the August, 2007 occasion, this FRAUD TOPIC was spread across four journals. They are archived at [Australian Parliamentary Law Journal Issue 200702](#)**

**<http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianParliamentaryLawJournalissue200702.pdf/>,**

<http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200708.justice.jeffrey.spender.pdf> /, [QUT Alumni Journal Issue 200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070813QUTAJissue200701.pdf) <http://austlawpublish.com/20070813TheAllstralianJournalissue200701.pdf> /.

In July 2007, we had four journals addressing this topic. They are archived at <http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200707.judge.julie.dick.pdf> , <http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716dossierof.judge.julie.maree.dick.pdf> , <http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716dossierof.terence.mellifont.pdf> , <http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716QBAissue200702.pdf> /. These are in addition to seven of our previous journals. In April, we published [HaigReport \[ISSN 1834-6294 #200701 26 March, 2007](http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf) (we held it over for a month)]/. It is also archived online at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf> /. That gave the factual setting from which this umbrella topic has arisen. In May, 2007, we publishing a further six journals which are relevant to this topic/matter. Our then newest Law Journal, very topical in Australia in the lead up to the 2007 Federal Election, was the [Australian Industrial Relations Law Journal ISSN 1834-8378 \[AIRLJ\] Issue #200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Industrial Relations Law Journal issue200701.pdf) which is archived at [<http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Industrial Relations Law Journal issue200701.pdf>]. Although the [Australian Judiciary Law Journal ISSN 1321-4497 Issue #200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Judiciary Law Journal issue200701.pdf) [<http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Judiciary Law Journal issue200701.pdf>], is included as part of the [Australian Criminal Law Journal ISSN 1321-6562 Issue200705](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Criminal Law Journal issue200705.pdf) [archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Criminal Law Journal issue200705.pdf>], we also reference its separate publication as <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Judiciary Law Journal issue200701.pdf>, and the **Dossiers of: three people involved are [Dossier of: Walter Norman Reiman](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.walter.reiman.pdf) archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.walter.reiman.pdf> , [Dossier of: Jeffery Ernest John Spender](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.jeffery.spender.pdf) archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.jeffery.spender.pdf> and [Dossier of: Frank Thomas Heffernan](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.frank.heffernan.pdf) archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.frank.heffernan.pdf> and for background [HaigReport \[ISSN 1834-6294 #200701 26 March, 2007](http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf), also archived online at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf> /.**

**[Attention MEDIA BUYING AGENTS: Discover the cost-benefit imperative of advertising in our journals.](http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishAdvertising.html)**

**<http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishAdvertising.html>**